# ANT-INSPIRED DENSITY ESTIMATION VIA RANDOM WALKS

Nancy Lynch, Cameron Musco, Hsin-Hao Su BDA 2016 July, 2016 Chicago, Illinois





## 1. Introduction

- Ants appear to use estimates of colony density (number of ants per unit area), in solving typical ant colony problems:
  - Searching for a new nest: Ants decide to accept a nest when they detect that the ant density in the nest has become sufficiently high [Pratt 05].
  - Engaging or retreating: Ants may decide to engage or retreat based on relative density of their own vs. an enemy colony [Adams 90].
  - Task allocation: Ants may choose tasks based on densities of ants already allocated to various tasks [Gordon 99], [Schafer, Holmes, Gordon 06].
- Estimate density based on encounter rates [Gordon, Paul, Thorpe 93], [Pratt 05].
- Q: How might this work, and how accurate are the estimates?

#### Density estimation in distributed systems

- Similarly, agents in distributed systems could use density estimates in solving distributed computing problems:
  - Robot swarms:
    - Robots can determine the frequency of certain properties within the swarm, such as detecting an environment event.
    - Robots can allocate themselves to tasks, or distribute themselves evenly around an area.
  - Social networks:
    - One could estimate the size of a network by launching agents and observe how frequently they encounter others [Katzir, Liberty, Somekh 11].
- Estimating density is equivalent to:
  - Estimating the number of agents, if the area is known, or
  - Estimating the area, if the number of agents is known.



#### How we got interested:

- Distributed House-Hunting in Ant Colonies [Ghaffari, Lynch, Musco, Radeva PODC 15].
  - Algorithm: Ants evaluate nest desirability by determining numbers of ants in the nests and how the numbers change over time.
  - $O(\log n)$  time until termination.
  - Approximately matching lower bound,  $\Omega(\log n)$ .
- This assumes that an ant can determine the number of ants in a nest precisely.
  - Typical sort of assumption for distributed algorithms.
  - Not realistic for ants: they cannot count precisely, they move,...
  - Makes the algorithm too fragile, for a biological algorithm.
- Led us to study approximate counting, which could be implemented by estimating density.

## Our latest algorithm

- Ant-Inspired Density Estimation via Random Walks [Lynch, Musco, Su PODC 16, arXiv]
- Uses encounter rates, as suggested by [Gordon, Paul, Thorpe 93], [Pratt 05].
- Specifically:
  - Ants wander in a 2-D plane, using independent random walks.
  - Each ant determines its number of encounters per unit time.
  - Uses that as a density estimate (number of ants per unit area).
- Notes:
  - This assumes that an ant can count its number of encounters, although ants cannot count precisely.
  - Actually, the algorithm is not so fragile---approximate counting should be good enough.
  - But for now, just pretend an ant can count its encounters precisely.

## Our algorithm

- Geometry is important for our results.
- 2-dimensional plane.
- Discretize space: Describe the plane as a grid, with ants on the nodes.



Then fold the grid into a torus:



## Our algorithm

- Discretize time: Synchronous rounds.
- Algorithm:
  - In each round, each ant takes a step in a random direction, sees how many ants it encounters at the new position, and adds this number to a running *count*.
  - After t rounds, it outputs the value of the ratio  $\frac{count}{t}$ .
- Claim: This is a good estimate for the ant density  $d = \frac{n}{area}$ .
- Q: How good?
- A: With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within a small inaccuracy  $\epsilon$ , provided that the number t of rounds is at least a certain constant times  $\frac{1}{d\epsilon^2}$  times  $\log(\frac{1}{d\epsilon})$ .



#### 2. Model and Problem

- Torus grid, A locations,  $\sqrt{A}$  by  $\sqrt{A}$ .
- Ants start at (uniformly, independently chosen) random locations.



- Then they work in synchronous rounds.
- At every round, each ant can choose (deterministically or probabilistically) to move one step in any direction, or to not move.
- In every round, each ant can detect how many other ants have reached the same grid location in the same round.
- It can also remember these numbers, e.g., by accumulating them in a single internal *count* variable.

## The Density Estimation problem



- Each ant should continually output its latest estimate of the density d = n/A, where *n* is the total number of ants and *A* is the total number of grid points in the torus.
- Ants are not assumed to know *n* or *A*, and don't need to determine these---just the ratio.
  - But if they happen to know *n* or *A*, the density estimate yields an estimate of the other.

# 3. The Algorithm



- Simplest possible!
- Ants are initially randomly placed at grid locations.
- Algorithm for ant  $a_i$ :
  - Local variables:
    - *count*, initially 0
    - *time*, initially 0
  - At every round:
    - Set time := time + 1.
    - Move in any of the four directions, each with probability 1/4.
    - See how many other ants have reached the same grid location in the same round.
    - Add that number to *count*.
    - Output estimate  $est = \frac{count}{time}$ .

# The Algorithm



- Algorithm for ant  $a_i$ :
  - At every round:
    - Set time := time + 1.
    - Move in any of the four directions, each with probability 1/4.
    - See how many other ants have reached the same grid location in the same round.
    - Add that number to *count*.
    - Output estimate  $est = \frac{count}{time}$ .
- Q: Why is  $\frac{count}{time}$  a plausible estimate for density d = n/A?
  - d = n/A is the expected number of ants at any particular location at any particular time.
  - $\frac{count}{time}$  is the average number any particular ant sees at any time.
  - Those are the same.

## 4. The Analysis



- How does this behave?
- Theorem 1: The expected value of any ant's estimate is equal to the actual ant density d = n/A.
- As we just argued.
- But we also want a high-probability result: With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within  $\epsilon$ , provided that the number *t* of rounds is "sufficiently large".
- Having the right expectation doesn't automatically imply high probability that our estimate is close to the expectation.

## Analysis

- High-probability result: With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within *ε*, provided that the number *t* of rounds is "sufficiently large".
- In completely-connected graphs, a highprobability result follows easily:
  - Any ant is equally likely to go anywhere at each round.
  - Occurrences of encounters are essentially independent at each round.

- Standard probability results (Chernoff bounds) yield a good high-probability result:
- Theorem 2 (for complete graphs): With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within  $\epsilon$ , provided that the number t of rounds is at least a certain constant times  $\frac{1}{d\epsilon^2}$ .

#### Analysis

- We say that the complete graph has fast mixing time, meaning there is little correlation between successive locations for an ant.
- On the other hand, the torus grid graph has slow mixing time--strong correlation between successive locations for an ant.
- Thus, when ant  $a_i$  encounters ant  $a_j$  in some round, it is likely to encounter it again in the following rounds.
- High variance in time between successive encounters.
- Still, we obtain:
- Theorem 3 (for torus grid graphs): With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within  $\epsilon$ , provided that the number t of rounds is at least a certain constant times  $\frac{1}{d\epsilon^2}$  times  $\log(\frac{1}{d\epsilon})$ .

#### Analysis

• Theorem 3 (for torus grid graphs): With "high probability", the estimate is correct to within  $\epsilon$ , provided that the number t of rounds is at least a constant times  $\frac{1}{d\epsilon^2}$  times  $\log(\frac{1}{d\epsilon})$ .



#### • Proof:

- Calculations, based on bounding the moments of the distribution of numbers of encounters.
- See [Lynch, Musco, Su, PODC 16, arXiv] for details.
- Key Lemma 4 (Re-collision bound): If  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  collide in round r, then the probability that they collide again in round r + m is (approximately)  $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{m+1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)$ .

## 5. Discussion

- We have shown that a very simple random exploration algorithm for the 2-dimensional plane gives accurate estimates of colony density, even though collisions at successive rounds are not independent.
- May be useful for understanding insect behavior:
  - Searching for a new nest
  - Engaging or retreating
  - Allocating ants to tasks
- And for distributed computing:
  - Robot swarms
  - Estimating the size of a large social network
  - See [Lynch, Musco, Su 16] for some examples.

## Results: Other graph classes

- Density estimation for other classes of graphs:
  - Rings
  - Higher-dimensional tori
  - Regular expanders
  - Hypercubes



- The key in each case is a re-collision bound, e.g., for a ring:
- Key Lemma (Re-collision bound): If  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  collide in round r, then the probability that they collide again in round r + m is (approximately)  $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)$ .
- We use a general result that converts re-collision bounds to bounds for density estimation.

#### Results: Network size estimation

- Estimate the size (area) of a social network by regarding it as a large directed graph, edges corresponding to network links.
- Algorithm:
  - Launch a number k of agents to follow links randomly and uniformly.
  - See how often the agents collide.
  - Use this to produce an estimate of density, which automatically yields an estimate of size since we know *k*.
- Issues:
  - Graph isn't regular, unlike grid. Compensate by using degree weights.
  - Initial distribution:
    - Can't place agents uniformly on nodes.
    - Instead, place them according to stationary distribution of a random walk of the network.
    - Implement by using an initial "burn-in" period.



#### Future work: Robustness

- Inexact counting of collisions:
  - Ants cannot count exact numbers of encounters.
  - Consider approximate counting, e.g., to within a factor of 2.
  - How does this affect the bounds?
- Inexact probabilities for choosing directions
- Dynamic setting:
  - What happens if the number of agents, or the network, or both, change during execution of the algorithm?
  - Adjust the estimation procedure?

#### Future work: Ant house-hunting

- [Ghaffari, Lynch, Musco, Radeva PODC 15].
- Ants evaluate nest desirability by determining the numbers of ants in the nests and how the numbers change over time.
- Assumes ants can count the number of ants in a nest exactly.
- Now reconsider house-hunting algorithms using inexact estimates of ant density instead of exact counts.
- Implement these estimates using our density-estimation algorithms.
- Q: How exactly do the algorithms fit together?



#### Thank you!

